IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1025 OF 2005

Mrs.Vineeta w/o Girish Kalra ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... Respondents

...

Mr.A.M. Saraogi, for the Applicant.

Mr.A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the Respondent No.1.

Mr.Niranjan Mundargi, for the Respondent No.2.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

5th April 2005

P.C.:

. This application is for cancellation of bail. However, during the pendency of this application, parties have amicably resolved all the differences and have arrived at out of Court settlement, which will bring quietus to all the proceedings pending inter se. Consent terms have been drawn by the parties which have been duly signed by the Advocates, as well as the Applicant

and Respondents Nos. 2 and 4. The Applicant as well as Respondents Nos.2 and 4 are personally present in Court. They have accepted the contents of the consent terms tendered across the Bar as correct and the Respondents Nos.2 and 4 have given oral undertaking, in addition to the assurance given in the consent terms to comply with their obligations as noted therein. That assurance is accepted. Consent terms are taken on record.

. This application is disposed of on the basis of consent terms reached by the parties. The parties agree that the matrimonial proceedings will be taken to its logical end on the basis of terms incorporated in the consent terms tendered before this Court by taking out joint application for that purpose. The Applicant assures through her Counsel that the Applicant shall not precipitate the criminal action pending against the Respondents Nos.2 to 4 and will have no objection if the said proceedings were to be quashed, subject to compliance by the Respondents Nos.2 and 4, of the undertaking given in the consent terms. That

assurance is also accepted. Application disposed of on the above terms.